arXiv:1606.08539v2 [math-ph] 3 Jul 2016

A new approach to the connection problem for local solutions to the general Heun equation

P. P. Fiziev *
Sofia University Foundation for Theoretical and Computational Physics and Astrophysics, Boulevard 5 James Bourchier, Sofia 1164, Bulgaria
and
BLTF, JINR, Dubna, 141980 Moscow Region, Rusia

We present new solution of the the connection problem for local solutions to the general Heun equation. Our approach is based on the symmetric form of the Heun's differential equation [1, 2] with four different regular singular points $z_{1,2,3,4}$. The four special regular points in the complex plane: $Z_{123}, Z_{234}, Z_{341}, Z_{412}$ are the centers of the circles, defined by the different triplets $\{z_k, z_l, z_m\}$ with the corresponding different indices and play a fundamental role since the coefficients of the connection matrix can be expressed using the values of local solutions of the general Heun's equation at these points. A special case when all coefficients can be calculated using only one of the points Z_{klm} is also considered.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Gp, 02.30.Hq

MSC classification scheme numbers: 34A25, 34B30, 11B37

I. INTRODUCTION

A new form of the general Heun's equation for the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$ defined on the complex plane $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$\mathcal{F}'' + \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{4}\frac{1}{z-z_j}\right)\mathcal{F}' + \frac{1}{P(z)}\left(\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^{4}\frac{q_j}{z-z_j}\right)\mathcal{F} = 0 \tag{I.1}$$

was introduced and studied in [1, 2]. Everywhere the prime denotes differentiation with respect to an independent variable. Equations (I.1) and the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$ have four regular singular points $z_{1,2,3,4} \neq 0$, eigenvalue λ, $P(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{4}(z-z_j)$, $q_j = \alpha_j\beta_j P'(z_j)$, and indices $\alpha_j = \frac{1}{2}\cos(\chi_j)^2$, $\beta_j = \frac{1}{2}\sin(\chi_j)^2$ where $\chi_{1,2,3,4} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ are free parameters. Since $\alpha_j + \beta_j = \frac{1}{2}$, the singular points $z_{1,2,3,4}$ are in any case branching points, see Fig.1: the grey lines present the branching cuts.



FIG. 1: The singular points $z_{1,2,3,4} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_z$ of Eq.(I.1), the corresponding circles of convergence and branching cuts going to ∞.



FIG. 2: The singular points $\zeta_{1,2,3,4} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_\zeta$ of Eq.(I.2). The dependence on indices prevents a common treatment of branching points.

* fizev@phys.uni-sofia.bg and fizev@theor.jinr.ru

Usually, the general Heun's equation is written in the most popular at present form [3–6]:

$$H'' + \left(\frac{\gamma}{\zeta} + \frac{\delta}{\zeta-1} + \frac{\epsilon}{\zeta-a}\right) H' + \frac{\alpha\beta\zeta - \lambda}{\zeta(\zeta-1)(\zeta-a)} H = 0, \quad \gamma+\delta+\epsilon=\alpha+\beta+1. \tag{I.2}$$

In contrast to Eq. (I.1), Eq. (I.2) is not symmetric with respect to the four regular singular points $\zeta_{1,2,3,4} = 0, 1, a, \infty$ (See Fig.2) with indices $\{0, 1-\gamma\}$, $\{0, 1-\delta\}$, $\{0, \gamma+\delta-\alpha-\beta\}$, $\{\alpha, \beta\}$.

Note that at present the Riemannian surfaces of the Heun's functions are usually ignored and the branch cuts, as a rule, are not justified. Such a careless approach leads to difficulties, especially in the numerical calculations, since it leads to branch mishmash. A good exception are the recent papers [8, 9].

The local solutions of Eqs. (I.1), Eq. (I.2) can be easily obtained in the form of the Frobenius series expansions [7] with the coefficients defined by the corresponding recurrence relations: three-term ones for Eq. (I.2), see [4–6], and nine-term onesa - for Eq. (I.1), see [1, 2].

A long standing connection problem is how to express any of the pears of linear-independent local solutions of Eq. (I.2) around some singular point $\zeta_{1,2,3,4}$ as a linear combination of any one of the other three such pairs. The existing attempts to solve this basic problem and the corresponding not very satisfactory results are described in [4–6], and in [10–12].

Here we give a simple resolution of this problem using the solutions of Eq. (I.1) and their relation with the solutions of Eq. (I.2).

II. SOME AUXILIARY RESULTS

A. The center and the radius of a circle through three different points $z_{k,l,m} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$

Lemma 1*: Let $z_{k,l,m} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ be any three different points $z_k \neq z_l \neq z_m \neq z_k$ $(k,l,m = 1,2,3,4)$. Then the center Z_{klm} and the radius R_{klm} of the circle through these three points are given by the following expressions:*

$$Z_{klm} = \frac{\bar{z}_k z_k (z_l - z_m) + \bar{z}_l z_l (z_m - z_k) + \bar{z}_m z_m (z_k - z_l)}{\bar{z}_k (z_l - z_m) + \bar{z}_l (z_m - z_k) + \bar{z}_m (z_k - z_l)}, \tag{II.1}$$

and

$$R_{klm} = \frac{|z_k - z_l||z_l - z_3||z_3 - z_k|}{|\bar{z}_k (z_l - z_m) + \bar{z}_l (z_m - z_k) + \bar{z}_m (z_k - z_l)|}. \tag{II.2}$$

Here the bar denotes complex conjugation and $|z|$ is the modulus of the corresponding complex number z. Note that Z_{klm} and R_{klm} are not analytic functions of the variables $z_{k,l,m}$, since they depend also on $\bar{z}_{k,l,m}$.

The proof is a simple application of elementary geometry and the definitions of the center Z_{klm} as a crossing point of the two complex straight lines $Z_k(\lambda_1) = \frac{z_k+z_l}{2} + i(z_l - z_k)\lambda_1$ and $Z_l(\lambda_2) = \frac{z_m+z_l}{2} + i(z_m - z_l)\lambda_2$ with real parameters $\lambda_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since between the singular points of Eq. (I.1) $z_{1,2,3,4}$ one can choose four triples of points $z_{1,2,3}, z_{2,3,4}, z_{3,4,1}, z_{4,1,2}$, according to the above Lemma 1 we obtain four circles C_{klm}, see Fig.3.

B. The different Moebius mappings needed for our purposes

Lemma 2*: Any three different points $z_{k,l,m} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ can be mapped onto any three different points $\zeta_{k,l,m} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ by proper Moebius transformation:*

$$z \leftrightarrow \zeta: \quad \zeta = \frac{Az + B}{Cz + D} \leftrightarrow z = \frac{D\zeta - B}{-C\zeta + A}, \quad \forall z, \zeta \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}, \quad AD - BC \neq 0. \tag{II.3}$$

For example, the explicit formulas for the case $z_{k,l,m} = z_{1,2,4}$ are

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} z_1\zeta_1, & \zeta_1, & 1 \\ z_2\zeta_2, & \zeta_2, & 1 \\ z_4\zeta_4, & \zeta_4, & 1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{vmatrix} z_1\zeta_1, & z_1, & \zeta_1 \\ z_2\zeta_2, & z_2, & \zeta_2 \\ z_4\zeta_4, & z_4, & \zeta_4 \end{vmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{vmatrix} z_1, & \zeta_1, & 1 \\ z_2, & \zeta_2, & 1 \\ z_4, & \zeta_4, & 1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{vmatrix} z_1\zeta_1, & z_1, & 1 \\ z_2\zeta_2, & z_2, & 1 \\ z_4\zeta_4, & z_4, & 1 \end{vmatrix}. \tag{II.4}$$



FIG. 3: The circles $C_{123}, C_{234}, C_{341}, C_{412}$.

Lemma 3*: The Mobius transformation*

$$z \mapsto \zeta(z; z_1, z_2, z_4) = \frac{z_2 - z_4}{z_2 - z_1}\frac{z - z_1}{z - z_4} \tag{II.5}$$

with parameters z_1, z_2, z_4 *maps the four arbitrary different points* $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ *onto the points* $\{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4, \} = \{0, 1, a, \infty\} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$*, thus describing the relation between the singular points of solutions to Eqs.* (I.1) *and* (I.2)*.*

Here

$$a = a(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \frac{z_2 - z_4}{z_2 - z_1}\frac{z_3 - z_1}{z_3 - z_4} = \frac{(\zeta_2 - \zeta_4)(\zeta_3 - \zeta_1)}{(\zeta_2 - \zeta_1)(\zeta_3 - \zeta_4)} = \text{invariant} = \zeta(z_3) = \zeta_3 \tag{II.6}$$

is the invariant of the Mobius transformation, called also the cross-ratio (see, for example, [13, 14].

The origin $z = 0$ will play in our consideration a very special role. The Mobius transformation (II.5) maps it onto the regular point

$$z = 0 \mapsto \zeta_0 = \zeta(0; z_1, z_2, z_4) = \frac{z_2 - z_4}{z_2 - z_1}\frac{z_1}{z_4}. \tag{II.7}$$

The inverse Mobius transformation is

$$\zeta \mapsto z(\zeta; z_1, z_2, z_4) = \frac{z_4(z_2 - z_1)\zeta - z_1(z_2 - z_4)}{(z_2 - z_1)\zeta - (z_2 - z_4)}. \tag{II.8}$$

Consequence 1*:* The solutions of Eqs. (I.1) and (I.2) are simply related. For example, using the Maple notation for the general Heun's functions and Eqs. (II.5) and (II.6) we can write down

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(z; z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4; q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; \lambda) &= HeunG(a; q; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \zeta) \prod_{k=1}^{4} (z - z_k)^{\nu_k}, \\ \nu_1 = -\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4, \nu_2 &= \alpha_2, \quad \nu_3 = \alpha_3, \quad \nu_4 = \alpha_4. \end{aligned} \tag{II.9}$$

Consequence 2*:* Using formulae (II.4) we see that any three different points $z_{k,l,m} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ can be mapped on three different points on the unit circle: $z_k = e^{i\Phi_k}$, $z_l = e^{i\Phi_l}$, $z_m = e^{i\Phi_m}$, $\Phi_{k,l,m} \in \mathbb{R}$. For example, in the case $k, l, m = 1, 2, 4$ the corresponding coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (z_1 - z_2)e^{i(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)} - (z_1 - z_4)e^{i(\Phi_1 + \Phi_4)} + (z_2 - z_4)e^{i(\Phi_2 + \Phi_4)}, \\ B &= -z_4(z_1 - z_2)e^{i(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)} + z_2(z_1 - z_4)e^{i(\Phi_1 + \Phi_4)} - z_1(z_2 - z_4)e^{i(\Phi_2 + \Phi_4)}, \\ C &= -(z_1 - z_2)e^{i\Phi_4} + (z_1 - z_4)e^{i\Phi_2} - (z_2 - z_4)e^{i\Phi_1}, \\ D &= z_4(z_1 - z_2)e^{i\Phi_4} - z_2(z_1 - z_4)e^{i\Phi_2} + z_1(z_2 - z_4)e^{i\Phi_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{II.10}$$

Consequence 3: From the above formulas we obtain the Moebius transformation

$$\zeta_{1,2,3,4} = 0, 1, \infty \rightleftarrows z_{1,2,4} = e^{i\Phi_1}, e^{i\Phi_2}, e^{i\Phi_4} \tag{II.11}$$

in the form

$$\zeta \mapsto z(\zeta; \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_4) = e^{i\Phi_4} \frac{\zeta - \zeta_0}{\zeta - \overline{\zeta_0}}, \quad z \mapsto \zeta(z; \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_4) = \overline{\zeta_0} \frac{z - e^{i\Phi_1}}{z - e^{i\Phi_4}}, \tag{II.12}$$

where we introduce $\zeta_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ (see Eq. (II.7).) with $x_0 = c_{14}s_{42}/s_{12}$, $y_0 = s_{14}s_{42}/s_{12}$, $s_{kl} = \sin(\frac{\Phi_k - \Phi_l}{2})$, and $c_{kl} = \cos(\frac{\Phi_k - \Phi_l}{2})$.

III. SOLUTION OF THE CONNECTION PROBLEM

A. The proper choice of the real angles $\Phi_{1,2,4} \in \mathbb{R}$ in $z_1 = e^{i\Phi_1},\ z_2 = e^{i\Phi_2},\ z_4 = e^{i\Phi_4}$

Using the Moebius mapping (II.12) we can solve the connection problem under a proper choice of the real angles $\Phi_{1,2,4}$. The two possible situations are shown:

1. In Fig.1 where $z = 0 \notin D_1 \cap D_2 \cap D_4$, and
2. In Fig.4 where $z = 0 \in D_0 \cap D_1 \cap D_2 \cap D_4$.

Here $D_{1,2,4}$ denote the discs of convergence of the Frobenius series of the local solutions around $z_{1,2,4}$, D_0 is the unite disc of convergence of the Taylor series of the local solutions around $z = 0$.



FIG. 4: A choice of $\Phi_{1,2,4}$ for which $z = 0 \in D_1 \cap D_2 \cap D_4$.



FIG. 5: The domain of $\Phi_{1,2}$ (mod 2π) for which $z = 0 \in D_1 \cap D_2 \cap D_4$.

To have $z = 0 \in D_1 \cap D_2 \cap D_4$ for $z_1 = e^{i\Phi_1},\ z_2 = e^{i\Phi_2},\ z_4 = e^{i\Phi_4}$, $\Phi_{1,2,4} \in \mathbb{R}$ we must superimpose on the three dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^{(3)}_{\Phi_1\Phi_2\Phi_4}$ the following:

Condition A:

$$r_{12} = 2\left|\sin\left(\tfrac{\Phi_1 - \Phi_2}{2}\right)\right| > 1,\ r_{24} = 2\left|\sin\left(\tfrac{\Phi_2 - \Phi_4}{2}\right)\right| > 1,\ r_{41} = 2\left|\sin\left(\tfrac{\Phi_4 - \Phi_1}{2}\right)\right| > 1. \tag{III.1}$$

Here $r_{kl} = |z_k - z_l|$. Because of the translational invariance: $\forall\phi$ the substitution $\Phi_1 \to \Phi_1 + \phi, \Phi_2 \to \Phi_2 + \phi, \Phi_3 \to \Phi_3 + \phi$ does not change the Condition A, we can fix the value of the angle Φ_4, say $\Phi_4 = 0$. Then the graphical solution of the Condition A reduces to a solution on the torus $\mathbb{T}^{(2)}_{\Phi_1\Phi_2}$ and is shown in Fig.5.

Obviously, we are free to choose any point $\{\Phi_1, \Phi_2\}$ in the two-component light-blue-domain shown in Fig.5 and thus to ensure the fulfilment of the condition $z = 0 \in D_1 \cap D_2 \cap D_4$.

B. Connection matrices

Now we are ready to prove the following:

Proposition 1:

Under Condition A the connection matrix

$$\hat{C}(z_k, z_l) = \begin{Vmatrix} C_{11}(z_k; z_l) & C_{12}(z_k; z_l) \\ C_{21}(z_k; z_l) & C_{22}(z_k; z_l) \end{Vmatrix} \tag{III.2}$$

for the local solutions $\mathcal{F}_{1,2}(z; z_{k,l,m})$ of Eq. (I.1) around any pair of singular points z_k, z_l can be expressed using their values at the common regular point $z = 0 \in D_1 \cap D_2 \cap D_4$ and has the following matrix elements:

$$C_{11}(z_k; z_l) = \frac{\mathcal{F}_1(0; z_k)\mathcal{F}_2(0; z_l)' - \mathcal{F}_1(0; z_k)'\mathcal{F}_2(0; z_l)}{\mathcal{F}_1(0; z_l)\mathcal{F}_2(0; z_l)' - \mathcal{F}_1(0; z_l)'\mathcal{F}_2(0; z_l)}, \tag{III.3a}$$

$$C_{12}(z_k; z_l) = -\frac{\mathcal{F}_1(0; z_k)\mathcal{F}_1(0; z_l)' - \mathcal{F}_1(0; z_k)'\mathcal{F}_1(0; z_l)}{\mathcal{F}_1(0; z_l)\mathcal{F}_2(0; z_l)' - \mathcal{F}_1(0; z_l)'\mathcal{F}_2(0; z_l)} \tag{III.3b}$$

$$C_{21}(z_k; z_l) = \frac{\mathcal{F}_2(0; z_k)\mathcal{F}_2(0; z_l)' - \mathcal{F}_2(0; z_k)'\mathcal{F}_2(0; z_l)}{\mathcal{F}_1(0; z_l)\mathcal{F}_2(0; z_l)' - \mathcal{F}_1(0; z_l)'\mathcal{F}_2(0; z_l)}, \tag{III.3c}$$

$$C_{22}(z_k; z_l) = -\frac{\mathcal{F}_2(0; z_k)\mathcal{F}_1(0; z_l)' - \mathcal{F}_2(0; z_k)'\mathcal{F}_1(0; z_l)}{\mathcal{F}_1(0; z_l)\mathcal{F}_2(0; z_l)' - \mathcal{F}_1(0; z_l)'\mathcal{F}_2(0; z_l)}. \tag{III.3d}$$

Proof:

I. Suppose the Condition A is fulfilled for the choice $z_{k,l,m} = z_{1,2,4}$ and denote by:

1) $\mathcal{F}_{1,2}(z; 0)$ - the pair of local solutions of Eq. (I.1) which have the Taylor series expansion around $z = 0$ and obey the conditions

$$\mathcal{F}_1(0; 0) = 1, \quad \mathcal{F}_1'(0; 0) = 0, \tag{III.4a}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2(0; 0) = 0, \quad \mathcal{F}_2'(0; 0) = 1. \tag{III.4b}$$

These solutions are linearly independent and form the vector

$$\mathbf{F}(z, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_1(z; 0) \\ \mathcal{F}_2(z; 0) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{III.5}$$

1) $\mathcal{F}_{1,2}(z; z_{1,2,4})$ - the pair of local solutions of Eq. (I.1) which have the Frobenius series expansion around $z = z_{1,2,4}$ and obey the condition $W[\mathcal{F}_1(z; z_{1,2,4}), \mathcal{F}_2(z; z_{1,2,4}) \neq 0$. These solutions are linearly independent and form the vector

$$\mathbf{F}(z, z_{1,2,4}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_1(z; z_{1,2,4}) \\ \mathcal{F}_2(z; z_{1,2,4}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{III.6}$$

Hence, there exist nonsingular constant connection matrices $\hat{C}(z_k, 0)$ and $\hat{C}(z_k, z_l)$, such that for any $z \in D_0 \cap D_1 \cap D_2 \cap D_4$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}(z, z_k) &= \hat{C}(z_k, 0)\mathbf{F}(z, 0), \ \mathbf{F}(z, z_k) = \hat{C}(z_k, z_l)\mathbf{F}(z, z_l) \Rightarrow \\ \hat{C}(z_k, z_l) &= \hat{C}(z_k, 0)\hat{C}(z_l, 0)^{-1} = \hat{C}(z_k, 0)\hat{C}(0, z_l). \end{aligned} \tag{III.7}$$

The connection matrices obey the obvious chain identities

$$\hat{C}(z_k, z_k) \equiv \hat{1}, \tag{III.8a}$$

$$\hat{C}(z_k, z_l)^{-1} = \hat{C}(z_l, z_k), \tag{III.8b}$$

$$\hat{C}(z_k, z_l)\hat{C}(z_l, z_k) = \hat{C}(z_k, z_l), \tag{III.8c}$$

$$\hat{C}(z_k, z_l)\hat{C}(z_l, z_m) = \hat{C}(z_k, z_m), \ldots \tag{III.8d}$$

The direct use of the above definitions and Eq. (III.4) leads after some algebra to our basic result, Eqs. (III.2), (III.3).

II. The choice $z_{k,l,m} = z_{1,2,4}$ is not unique and can be replaced by any other one: $z_{k,l,m} = z_{2,3,4}$, $z_{k,l,m} = z_{3,4,1}$, or $z_{k,l,m} = z_{4,1,2}$ (see Fig. 3). Under the last choices the relations and the formulas for transition from solutions of Eq. (I.1) to the solutions of Eq. (I.2), as described in Section II B, may be more complicated, but the above procedure (point I in the present Section) can be repeated with the same result and yields formulas, Eqs. (III.2), (III.3) with the corresponding set of indices. Since in each pair of the sets of indies we have just two common indices (note that in Fig. 3 the section of any two of the circles C_{klm} consists of just two singular points by construction.), we are able to connect explicitly all local solutions $\mathbf{F}(z, z_k)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ using the corresponding well defined values of these local solutions at all different regular points Z_{klm}.

C. Solution of the connection problem using only one regular point Z_{klm}

An interesting point is to clarify the conditions under which it is possible to solve the connection problem for all local solutions $\mathbf{F}(z, z_k)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ using their well defined values at only one of the regular points Z_{klm}. For this purpose we have to ensure that this point belongs simultaneously to the domains of convergence of the Frobenios series of all solutions $\mathbf{F}(z, z_k)$.

Consider once more the simplest choice $z_{k,l,m} = z_{1,2,4}$. For the validity of the last new acquirement we obviously have to add to the Condition A an additional one:

Condition B:

$$\min\{r_{13}, r_{23}, r_{43}\} > r_3, \quad \text{where} \quad r_3 = |z_3|. \tag{III.9}$$

The condition B is much more complicated than the Condition A and depends on the values of the parameter a. In the present case, a acquires the form, which follows from Eq. (II.12):

$$a(\zeta; \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_4) = e^{i\Phi_4} \frac{\zeta_3 - \zeta_0}{\zeta_3 - \overline{\zeta_0}}\,. \tag{III.10}$$

Since the Condition B also remains unchanged under previously used translations – $\forall\phi$: $\Phi_1 \to \Phi_1 + \phi, \Phi_2 \to \Phi_2 + \phi, \Phi_3 \to \Phi_3 + \phi$, we can keep the fixing $\Phi_4 = 0$. Then we obtain on the torus $\mathbb{T}^{(2)}_{\Phi_1\Phi_2}$ different domains of the validity of the Condition B, depending on the values of the parameter a. One example is shown in Fig.6. An



FIG. 6: The domain $\Phi_{1,2,4}$ with valid Condition B .



FIG. 7: The domain of $\Phi_{1,2}$ (mod 2π) with simultaneously valid Conditions A and B.

example of a domain on the torus $\mathbb{T}^{(2)}_{\Phi_1\Phi_2}$ with the simultaneously valid Conditions A and B is shown in Fig.7. An example of a proper configuration in $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_z$ is shown in Fig.7. It turns out that such configurations are possible only in a restricted domain $\mathrm{Dmn}(a) \subset \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_\zeta$ of values of the parameter $a \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_\zeta$ - see Fig.9. The domain $\mathrm{Dmn}(a)$ contains the union of two disks with the centers at points $\{1/2, \pm\sqrt{3}\}$ and radii $r \approx 3.9$, but its exact form is more complicated and still unknown. Figure 9 demonstrates the numerical result for the domain $\mathrm{Dmn}(a)$.



FIG. 8: An example of a configuration with simultaneously valid Conditions A and B.



FIG. 9: The pink domain Dmn(a) of the variable $a \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_\zeta$ with simultaneously valid Conditions A and B.

IV. SOME COMMENTS AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the present paper, we represent a novel solution of the connection problem for local solutions to the general Heun's equation based on the recently proposed symmetric form of this equation [1, 2]. Owing to the freedom to choose the positions of the four regular singular points of the symmetric form of the general Heun's equation (I.1) we are able to arrange those positions in a way which ensures simultaneous well defined values of all local solutions at four special regular points $Z_{123}, Z_{234}, Z_{341}, Z_{412}$ - the centers of the circles in the complex plane through each triple of singular points $z_{1,2,3}, z_{2,3,4}, z_{3,4,1}, z_{4,1,2}$. This permits us to find the coefficients of the connection matrices in terms of local solutions at these regular points, see Eqs. (III.3). The special case when it turns possible to find the coefficients of the connection matrices using only one regular point Z_{klm} is also considered.

The final conclusion is that in contrast to the case of the hypergeometric function, in general, in the case of consideration in the present paper it seems not possible to find the coefficients of the connection matrices in terms of simpler functions than the general Heun's ones. This problem needs more careful investigations since we still have no proof of nonexistence of some essential simplifications of the coefficients (III.3) of the connection matrices due to the special character of the centers Z_{klm} of the circles C_{klm} where these coefficients are calculated. (Note once more that the last regular points are completely determined by Eq. (II.1) via the singular points $z_{1,2,3,4}$.)

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Professor Sergei Yu. Slavyanov for his helpful comments and his kind encouragement, to Professors Alexander Kazakov, Oleg Motygin and Artur Ishkhanyan, as well as to other participants of the Section *Heun's equations and their applications* of the Conference *Days on Diffraction 2016*, St. Petersburg, 27th of June- 01th of July, 2016 for their interest in the talk on the basic results of the present article which were reported there for the first time.

Special thanks are also to the leader of the Maple-Soft-developers, Edgardo Cheb-Terrap, for many useful discussions during the last years on the properties of the Maple-Heun's functions and the computational problems with them.

The author is also thankful to the leadership of BLTP, JINR, Dubna for the support and good working conditions. This article was also supported by the Sofia University Foundation *Theoretical and Computational Physics and Astrophysics* and by the Bulgarian Agency for Nuclear Regulation, the 2014, 2015, 2016 grants.

[1] Plamen P. Fiziev, In Proceedings of the International Conference Days on Diffraction 2014, St. Petersburg, Russia, p.76, ISBN: 978-1-4799-6699-8 (Electronic) 978-1-4799-7331-6 (Print), ©2014 by IEEE.

[2] Plamen P. Fiziev, International Frontiers Science Letters, ISSN: 2349-4484, Vol. 7, pp. 11-24, (2016); doi: 10.18052/www.scipress.com/IFSL.7.11©2016 SciPress Ltd, Switzerland.
[3] K. Heun, Math. Ann. **33** 161 (1889).
[4] A. Ronveaux, (ed.), *Heun's Differential Equations*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York (1995).
[5] S. Y. Slavyanov, W. Lay, *Special Functions, A Unified Theory Based on Singularities* (Oxford: Oxford Mathematical Monographs) (2000).
[6] W. J. Frank, F. W. J. Olver, Lozier D W, Boisvert R F, Clark C W (Eds) *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Cambridge University Press and the National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2010).
[7] E. A. Coddington, N. Levinson, *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations* (TMH Eddition 1972, 9th Reprint 1987, Mc Graw-Hill Inc. N Y. 1955).
[8] O. V. Motygin, *On evaluation of the Heun functions*, arXiv:1506.03848 [math.NA].
[9] O.V. Motygin, "On numerical evaluation of the Heun functions,"/Proc. Days on Diffraction 2015/, St. Petersburg, 2015, pp. 222вЃ“227. DOI: 10.1109/DD.2015.7354864 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/DD.2015.7354864>
[10] R. Schäfke, D. Schmidt, SIAM J. MATH. ANAL. Vol. 11, No. 5, (1980).
[11] R. Schäfke, SIAM J. MATH. ANAL. Vol. 11, No. 5, (1980).
[12] R. Schäfke, SIAM J. MATH. ANAL. Vol. 15, No. 2, (1984).
[13] V. V. Golubev, *Lectures on the analytic theory of differential equations* (Gostekhizdat, Moscow, Leningrad, 1950) (in Russian).
[14] M. Ablowitz, A. S. Fokas, it Complex Variables Introduction and Applications (Cambridge University Press, 2003).